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## ABSTRACT

Several related research studies which examine the role of student preferences in problemupon the relationship between an individual's stated preference' and his or her ability to implement this preference and successfully solve a related science ? task.

## INTRRODUCTION

Although problem solving activities are an integral part of a unified science curriculum, we have not thoroughly explained the role of the many different variables which may influence the student's behavior and/or learning in this type of activity. A review of the literature witicate that several researchers are using a Piagetian-type model to study húmán learning in problem solving activities. Further, many of these studies focus on or are related to the student's level of performance. Sayre and Ball' (i) indfcate that formal operational students tend to have better science grades than non-formal students taking the same course. They also report no significant difference in the performance of males and females on identical tasks. This, they state; is in contradiction to studies by Bridgham (2) and Elkind (3).

One vartable which may influence a student's performance is his/her acquisition of logical structures (cognitive level of development). Sayre and Ball (1) seem to support this point of view when they state that the lower grades received by non-formal operational students may be due, in part, to their cognitive developmental stages, over which'they have little control.

Raven (4) also recognizes the importance of the acquisition of logical structures in hefíping to determine the levei at which a student will perform; • however, Raven indicates that the acquisition of these structures can be fačilitated through instruction.

Another variable which influences the level of operations at which a. student functions is that of individual preference: This paper surmarizes several studies $(5,6,7)$ which investigated the role of an individual's. preference in helping, to determine the level at which that student preferred to function and the subsequent success in problem solving settings.

## OBJECTIVES

\& This paper will summarize the designs, results, and conclusions of a series of studies which investigated one or more of the following hypotheses:

1. There is no significant difference in the cognitive level of development for college science students who are science mafors and college science students who are non-science majors.
2. There is no significant difference in the abstract preference scores for college science students who are science majors and college science students who arenon-science majors.
3. There is no significant difference in the cognitive level. of development of students in grades 8, 9, 12, 13. (college freshmen), and 16 (college seniors).
.4. There is no significant difference in abstract preference scores of students in grades 8, 9, 12, 13, and 16.
4. There is no significant correlation between abstract preferences in selecting pethods to solve a problem and cognitive levels of devel ppment.
5.     - There is no significant difference between the manner in which students state that they will attempt to solve a problem and the manner in which they actually do attempt to solve the problem.
Further, this paper will contain a discussion of the degree to which formal and non-formal students are successfut in using their preferred method of problem solving.

## DESIGN

Several (between 116 and 466 depending upon the specific, study) science students between the ages of 15 and 22 were given an 18 -item abstract preference survey. This survey consisted of 18 written problem splving tasks and required the subjects to state their preferences concerning methods for arriving at a solution to each task. The methods of solution for each task were ranked by a, panel of educators according to the degree of abstraction represented, thus allowing an abstract preference score to be calculated. The test-retest reliability for 28 people was 0.84 . The validity of the preference instrument was based upon the theoretical construct for concrete and formal as described by the Piagetian developmental theory (8). $a$.
Students with a high level of abstract reasoning ability were identified by scores from the Shipley Te'st of Abstract Reasoning (9). This test is part of a scale for measuring intellectual impairment, and it was specifically
designed to separate children of different abstraction ages. It is composed of twenty items, may be administered in 10 minutes, and the reliability. coefficient obtained for 322 individuals was 0.89 . This particular test was used because earlier studies have provided some èvidence that groups of students with high abstract reasoning abilities are similar to groups of students found to be in the formal stage of operations as defined by traditional Piagetian types of tests (10). Additional groups and sub-groups were formed using the students academic major, content emphasis within a major, grade level, and sex.

Several days after the completion of the paper and pencil tests mentioned above, each student was individually interviewed and given the opportunity to solve three different tasks. These tasks were taken from the 18-item preference survey and included a fossil identification task, a. balance problem, and an electrical circuit problem.

Records were kept which allowed comparisons to be made concerning the actual manner in which a student attempted to solve a problem and the manner which the student previously indicated as a preferred method of solution. The McNemar test for the significance of changes as described in Siegel (ll) was used to examine the related null hypothesis.
$\stackrel{1}{1}$
Tablés 1-9. focus on the abstract ability and the abstract preferences of several different groups of science students. Table 1 shows that there is no significant difference between the abstract preference scores for formal and concrete operational students. When sub-divided by sex, the same result is found.

Predictably however, there are very significant differences between the abstract ability scores for formal and concrete operationar students.

Also predictable are the significant differences among five different grade levels; however, it is interesting to. note the lack of significant difference among the preference scores for these five grade levels (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 4 includes the sub-groups of science and non-science majors with respect to their abstract ability and preference scores. Although a significant difference exists between these two sub-groups for their abstract preference scores, no such difference is evident for their abstract ability scores:

Tables 5-9 show the product moment' correlations for several different. groups of students using abstract preference scores and abstract ability, scores as the two variables. Although there are a few significant correlations between these two variables, it is generally true that the correlations are relatively low.
. The results of the McNemar test for the significance of changes are found in Tables 10-15. Several points may be made concerning the degree to which studènts change their prefences after actually being asked to solve a problem. (It should be noted that for Jables 10-15, task number one is the fossil identification problem, task number two is the electric circuit problem, and task number three is the balance problem.)

First, When considering all three tasks, there is a similarity of performance between males and females. That is, both Groups of students
generally have a significant change in their preference after being asked to solve the tasks. In tasks one and two this shift of preference is from the concrete mode to the abstract mode, while in task three the shift is in the opposite direction.

Second, the similarity that existed between the males and females is not evident when examining high school students in comparison with college students. In this situation we can see that the college students are less likely to shift their preferences than are the high school students. The high school students show significant changes in their preferences in tasks one and three; however, the direction of their' shift is toward the abstract preference in task one but toward the concrete preference in task three. *. When comparing the high abstract group (formal operational) with the low abstract group (concrete operational), we find that in tasks one and two the formal operational students are similar to the concrete operational. students in the degree to which they changed their preferences. For both groups the shift in task one was toward the abstract mode and the shift in task two was not significant. In tâsk three the high ability group made a significant shift toward, the concrete mode, but the low ability group made no significant change in their preference.

The data from Tables $10-15$ indicate that students made significant changes in their preference approximately $83 \%$ of the time in task one, $16 \%$ of the time in task two, and $66 \%$ of the time in task three.

Table 16 summarizes the percentages of concrete and formal operational students which attempted and successfully completed the task as they indicated on the preference survey. Although several concrete students preferred to solve problems in an abstract manner, they were unsuccessfur in their efforts. However, the success rate for those who preferred to use the concrete approach was yery similar for the formal and concrete operational students.

TABLE 1 - A Comparison Between Formal and Concrete Operational Chîldren With Respect to Abstract Preference Scores and Abstract Ability Scores.
Group
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TABLE 2-- A one wayAnalysis of Variance df Abstract Ability Scores for Five Different Grade Levels.

n

TABLE 3- A one Wayanalysis of Variance of Abstract Preference Scores For Five Different Grade Levels.

| Source of <br> Variation | Degrees of <br> Freedom | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Groups | 4 | 15.22 | 3.80 | 1.15 |
| Within Groups | 288 | 1004.78 | 3.49 |  |
| Total | 292 | 1020.00 |  |  |

TABLE 4 -- A Comparison Between Science and Non-Science Majors at the College Preshman Level With Respect to Abstract Ability and Abstract Preferences Scores



TABLE 5-- Product-Moment Correlation Coefficients Between Abstract Ability and Abstract Preference Scores for Six Groups of College Freshmen.


TABLE $\mathcal{V}$ - Product Moment Correlation Coefficients Between Abstract Ability and Abstract Preference Scores fór Five Different Sub-Groups of High School Students.

| Sub-Group | $n$ | $r$ | Level of Significance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 23 | -.02, | n.s. |
| Females | 59 | .13 | n.s. |
| Formal | 51 | .08 | n.s. |
| Concrete | 23 | .09 | n.s. |
| Total Group | 80 | .09 | n.s. |

TABLE 7 - Product-Moment Correlation Coefficients Between Abstract and Abstract Preference Scores for Five Different Grade Levels.

Ability Grade Level


TABLE 8-Product-Moment Correlation Coefficients Between Abstract, Ability and Abstract Preference Scores for Five Different Sub-groups of 8 th Grade Science Students.

fABLE' 9 - Produce-Moment Correlation Coefficients Between Abstract Ability and Abstract Preference Scores *for Five Different Sub-Groups of College Freshmen.


TABLE 10-Female Student's Preferred Method of Problem Solving Before and After Being Asked to Solve the Actual Problem Solving Tasks.


TASK 2


TASK 3


TABLE IF- Male Sțudent's Preferred Method of Problem Solving Before and After Being Asked to Solve the Actual Problem Solving Tasks.

TASK 1


TASK 3


[^0]TABLE 12- High School Student's Preferred Method of Problem Solving Before and After Being Asked to Solve the Actual. Problem Solving Tasks. TASK 1
Nritten Preference,

TASK 2
$\therefore$
-Actual Selection


TASK 3

Actual Selection
Concrete Abstract
Method Method
Abstract
Written Preference

| Abstract <br> Method | $20 \cdot$ | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Concrete <br> Method | 43 | 5 |
|  |  |  |

Method

TABLE 13-- College Students' Preferred Method of Problem Soiving
Before and After Being Asked to Solve the Actual Problem Solving Tasks.
TASK 1


TASK 3


${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ For 1 d.f. chi-square $(.01)=6.64$, chi-square (.05) $=3.84$.

TABLE 14- High Abstrac $\ddagger$ Ability (Formal) Student's Preferred Method of Problem Solving Before and After Being Asked to Solve the Actual Problem Solving Tasks.

- TASK 1



TASK 3

afor 1 d.f. chi-square (.01) $=6.64$, chi-s are (.05) $=3.84$

TABLE 15- Low Abstract Ability (Non-Formal) Student's Preferred Method of Problem Solving. Before and After Being Asked to Solve the Actual Problem Solving Tasks.

TASK 1

|  | - | Actual Selection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Concrete Method | Abstract Method |
| Written Preference | Abstract Method ; | 1. | 3 |
|  | Concrete Method | 12 | 9 |
|  |  | $Y^{2}=4.90^{\text {a }}$ |  |

TASK 2

${ }^{1}$ For 1 d.f. chi-square (.01) $=6.64$, chi-square ( $(.05)=3.84$

TABLE 16- Percentages of students attempting and successfully solving three tasks in the manner that they previously stated to be their preference.


## SIGNIFICANCE AND DISCUSSION

One possible conclusion which can be drawn from these studies is that the possession of logical operations does not insure, or even suggest, the ? cognitive level of development at' which, a student will prefer to operate. Further, when presented with an actual problem sólving situation, it is clear that many low ability children will attempt to solve the problem in a formal operational manner. When this occurs the chance for success is very slight. On the fother hand many high ability children will recognize that the most efficient solution to the problem is through the use of a concrete strategy. In these cases the chances of success are very high. - When considering the manner in which students' change their preference, one can see that the direction of change (from an abstrảct approach to a concrete approach or vice versa) is more consistent within a given task for several groups of students than it is among several tasks for one group of students. One possible interpretation is that, for many students, actual preferences. are task dependent. If this is true, teachers could, when appropriate; encourage abstract thaught and abstract performañe by judicial selection of classroom activities. By the same, process, of course, teachers may be able to prevent concrete operational students from creating an incongruity between their ability and their preferences. This should then increase the rate of success for concrete operational students when they are working on a problem solving task.

When examining a total grade level, one may see that the low ability students are not any more likely to select a concrete method of problem
solving than are the high abllity students. This was also the case for subgroups within the 8th grade; however, because some of the subgroups within the cbllege freshman level indicated moderate correlations, it is possible that as age increases, the relationship between abstract ability and abstract preferences becomes more pronouncèd.

If you-were unable to attend the verbal presentation which accompanied this summary, the references will be valuable in providing additional detail and discussion.。


The Shipley Test of Abstract Reasoning

Conglete the following. Each dash (_) calls for either a number or a letter to be flled in. Every Hape is a soparatio them. Take the itemin order, but don't spend too much time on any one,
start here
(1) $12345 \ldots$
(2) writte black short long dowin, - .
(3) AB BC CD D
(4) $\mathbf{Z Y X W V U} \mathbf{U}^{-}$
(5) 123212343234543450 -
(6) NE/SW SE/NW E/W N/
(7) escape scape cape ———
(8) oh bo rat tar mood _ _ - _
(9) AZBYCXD
(10) tot tot bard drab $537 \ldots \ldots$
(11) mist is wasp as pint in tone $\quad$ -
(12). 57326 -73265 $32657 \quad 26573$
(13) knit in spud up both to stay - -
(14) Scotland landscape scapegoat _... - -ee
(15) surgeon 1234567 snore 17633 rogue $\ldots \ldots \ldots$. . .
(16) tam.tan rib rid rat raw hip - — -
(17) tar pitch throw saloon bar rod fee tip end plank _ _ _ meals
(18) $3124 \quad 82 \quad 73 \quad 154 \quad 46 \quad 13 \ldots$
(10) lag leg pen pin big bog rob $\ldots \ldots$
(20) wo in four I ove 0 three -

APPENDIX B

Abstract Preference Survey
3

This is NOT a test, "but rather a preference survey. There are no right or wrong answers--only preferences. It consists of 18 problems each of which may be solved by more than one method. (Assume all methods could, if properly used, result in a correct solution.) As you read the items, select the method which you would prefer to use in arriving at the solution. You do not need to actually solve the problem at this time.. just indicate whith method you would prefer to use if someone asked youn to solve the prob.lem.

1. You are given three pieces of metal and are asked to identify them as to composition.' Which would you more likely do first?
A. Consult references such as handbooks, textbooks, and read about the theory and about the theory and properties of metals.
B. Test the metals with acids, bases, and other liquids in the laboratory to determine -their properties.
2. You have just found 'an interesting fossil but don't know what it is. Which of the following methods would you use to identify the fossil?
A. Study the fossif through written descriptions.
B. Compare it to pictures which you have of various named fossils.
3. If you wanted to understand how a certain piece of equipment operated, would you
A. Read the instructions as you examined and used the equipment.
B. Read the instructions thoroughly prior to examining or using the equipment. .
4. When driving in an area which is new to you, which of the following do you prefer to do?
A. Decide upon the proper direction by "instinct" and/or reason.
B. Decide upon the proper direction by using a map.
-5. Read the following sentence: "I am very glad I do not like onions, for if I liked them, I would always be eating them, and I hate eating unpleasant things." Which of the following comments would you prefer to make concerning that sentence?
A. Onions are unpfeasant for some people to eat.
B. There is a contradiction between "if I liked them" and "onions are unpleasant".
5. You want to learn how the parts of an electric motor fit together. In addition, you want to learn this as quickly as possible.. Which of the following would you choose?
A. Look at diagrams and read how the parts fit together.
B. Take an actual electric motor apart and see how the parts fit:
6. On your last birthday you were given a small wooden puzzle. It has about 12 pieces and when properly assembled, it forms a solid cube. You are anxious to assemble this as easily as possible. Would you kest like to
A. Follow a diagram of how to put the pieces together.
"B. Finw the verbal instructions of a friend.
7. You are given a drycell battery, two light bulbs, some wires, and a switch. You are asked to hook up the materials in such a way as to make both lights burn at the same time. What would you more likely do first?
A.. Study about electric circuits, sketches, diagrams, and then draw' some yourself.
B. Take the given materials and actually manipulate them in order to get the system to work
8. You have been given the task of determining a person's blood type. Which of the following best describes the method you would prefer, to use in this determination?
A. Using a sample of blood provided, you would test it in a laboratory to determine its type.
.B. Using an accurate family tree showing blood types of many blood relatives, (but not the type of the individual in question) you would determine the blood type of the individual by applying various principles of heredity and genetics which would be provided for:gou.
10.. A 2 gram weight is placed exactly 6 centimeters to the right of a fulcrum. Another weight ( 3 grams) is placed 7 Cm to the left of the fulcrum. Where would the 3 gram weight need to be placed to have the system balanced? To answer th t's question, which of the following methods would you choose?
A. A mathematical approach using formulas.

B. Actual manipulation of the weights.
9. You have decided to play the role of a cook and wish to try making something you haves never made before. Which of the following would you prefer to use as.a source of instruction?

A Learn how to do it by watching a famous cook on T.V.
B. Learn by reading one of the famous T.V. cook's book.
,
12. Given the same situation as above:
A. Learn by having a neighbor explain it to you.
B. Learn by watching $a^{\circ}$ famous cook on T.V.
13. You have been given 2 chemicals in liquid form and asked what happens, If they are mixed together. How would you prefer to find out?

- A. Úsing chemical principles, a probable solution could be deduced.
B. Under controlled conditions the two chemicals would be mixed together and observations would be made.

14. You just bought a new game. which is designed to illustrate the basic principles of
genetics. How would you prefer to learn to play this game? genetics. How would you prefer to learn to play this game?
A. Begin immediately and read' the rules as you play.
B. Read the rules until you understand how to play and then play.
15. You are about to build a picnic table for your own use in your backyard. Which of the following methods would you prefer to use in the building of the tables?
A. Follow a set of plans (either your own or a set you purchased).
B. Build the table "from your head" as you proceed.

16: You see-a glass three-quarters full of water. When a stone is placed into the water, you notice the water level goes up. Which of the following would you prefer as a reason for your observation?
A. The water will rise because the stone takes up space at the bottom.
B. The stone is heavy; it will make the water rise.
17. If you were to visit a friend in another city for the first time, which of the following would you prefer to help you visualize the location of your fiends's home?
A. A little map sketched out for you on a piece of paper.
B. A werbal set of instructions given to you.
18. You have been given a square object of unknown composition. Its weight and size are known. You wonder if it will float if placed in various liquids such as alcohol, oil, water, and gasoline: How would you prefer to determine if this object would float in each liquid?
A. By experimentation under controlled conditions, you would observe the results.
B. Calculate the objects density and compare this to the density of the various liquids. Formulas which you needed would be provided.

0
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ or 1 d.f. chi-square $(.01)=6.645^{\text {chi-square }(.05)}=3.84$.

